

From: [Tina Laidlaw](#)
To: [Suplee Mike](#)
Subject: Draft TMDL language
Date: 06/01/2012 02:20 PM

Mike,

How does this look to you for the TMDL language. We were thinking it could fix in Section 2 of Circular 12 Part B. See what you think. This was wordsmithed by me, Dave Moon and reviewed by one of our attorneys. Hope it helps.

Tina

The Department must review the general variance treatment requirements every 3 years to assure that the justification for their adoption remains valid. There may be situations where a point source discharge does not need to reduce its load to the degree required by a general variance in order to attain WQS. If an EPA approved TMDL has been completed for the waterbody and the wasteload allocation (WLA) is less stringent than the general variance interim effluent limit concentrations, then the reasonable potential determination and any necessary WQBELs may be written to the WLA because in that scenario the interim effluent limit is more stringent than necessary to attain the designated use.

Tina Laidlaw
USEPA Montana Office
10 West 15th Street, Suite 3200
Helena, MT 59626
406-457-5016